Math205 Handout 2: Methods of Proof

We shall show how to go about proving the statement "if an odd integer is multiplied by -1 and that new integer is then added to 26 the result is odd". We first identify the statements p(x) and q(x) in the above statement if it is $p(x) \to q(x)$ and deduce that:

 $p(x) :\equiv \text{"$x$ is odd"} \equiv \text{"$x = 2j+1$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}''$}$ $q(x) :\equiv \text{"$26-x$ is odd"} \equiv \text{"$26-x = 2k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}"$}$

We are usually either told to use one of the methods below, or we can choose one:

• **Direct:** We suppose that p(x) is true and using what that tells us about x we then apply that to the subject of q(x) in order to try to show that it is true when p(x) is.

So if p(x) is true then x = 2j + 1, and q(x) is about 26 - x, and putting these two things together

$$26 - x = 26 - (2j + 1) = 26 - 2j - 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 1 + 24 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 26 - 2j - 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 1 + 24 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 1 + 24 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 1 + 24 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2$$

Thus we have our statement in the form of q(x) where k = 12 - j, and it just remains to establish that this k is an integer. Since j is, multiplying by -1 means -j is still an integer, and then subtracting this from 12, another integer, means that k is an integer as required.

• Contrapositive: We can alternatively suppose that q(x) is false and using what that tells us about x we then apply that to the subject of p(x) in order to try to show that it is false when p(x) is. We are thus proving $(\sim q(x)) \to (\sim p(x))$ which we know is logically equivalent to $p(x) \to q(x)$.

So if q(x) is false then $26 - x = 2m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\sim p(x)$ says that " $x = 2n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Simplifying $(\sim q(x))$ to tell us about x

$$26 - x = 2m$$

$$x = 26 - 2m$$

$$= 2 \times (13 - m)$$

This equals 2n if we take n = 13 - m and so, again, since m is an integer, so is -m and adding 13 to this keeps it an integer, so n is an integer as required.

• Contradiction: We now suppose that p(x) is true and also that q(x) is false. We intend to get an impossible situation arising whence we can use the logical equivalence of $(p(x) \land (\sim q(x))) \leftrightarrow (\sim T_0)$ and $(p(x) \to q(x)) \leftrightarrow T_0$ to show that p(x) implies q(x) as required.

As before, if p(x) is true then x = 2j + 1, and $(\sim q(x))$ says that 26 - x = 2m. Combining these two statements to remove x we get:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 26 - (2j+1) & = & 2m \\ & 25 & = & 2j+2m \\ & = & 2(j+m) \\ \frac{25}{2} & = & j+m \end{array}$$

This statement is our desired contradiction since both j and m are integers and so their sum is an integer, but $\frac{25}{2}$ is certainly not an integer as it is 12.5 in decimal terms and no integer has to be written with a decimal point.